# TOWN OF BARKHAMSTED INLAND WETLANDS COMMISSION WATER POLLUTION CONTROL AUTHORITY MINUTES OF SPECIAL MEETING TUESDAY, MAY 12, 2009

Chairman, John Greaser called the meeting to order at approximately 7:05 p.m. Members present were John Greaser, Chris Tooker, Martha Sullivan, and Michael Ream. Alternate member Thomas Santoro was seated for Caprice Shaw. Inland Wetlands Officer Rista Holda attended the meeting.

Martha Sullivan moved to place the report from the Inland Wetlands Officer first on the agenda. Motion seconded by Chris Tooker. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

#### REPORT FROM INLAND WETLANDS OFFICER:

Rista Holda reported that the State of Connecticut has given the CT Dept. of Transportation (DOT) a permit to repair and repaint the bridge on Rte. 20 in Riverton. The DOT will hire a subcontractor to do the work. Ms. Holda opined that CT Dept. of Environmental Protection (DEP) has exclusive jurisdiction over the activity pursuant to Regulation 5.2 of the Barkhamsted Inland Wetlands and Watercourses Regulations. After discussion, the Inland Wetlands Commission (IWC) members were in agreement that the activity falls with Regulation 5.2 and the IWC asks the First Selectman to inform the IWC of the particulars of the proposed activity.

Ms. Holda inspected the activity at the Rebick site (78 Gavitt Road) and confirmed that proper sediment and erosion controls are in place.

Ms. Holda informed the IWC that it appears that at some point prior to construction, the owner of the barn on Morgan Brook Road received approval from the Inland Wetlands Officer holding the position at that time. As such, it appears that the owner was within his/her rights to construct the barn.

#### **PENDING APPLICATIONS:**

A. SEIBERT, TYLER, applicant/owner 19 Morgan Brook Road.

Application for proposed activity for construction of an inground pool in regulated area.

Thomas Santoro stated that he had visited the subject property and feels that if stockpiling is kept near the road and if proper sediment and erosion procedures are following, then there is likely to be no negative impact to the watercourse, and therefore a public hearing on the matter is not necessary. Chris Tooker reiterated the opinion he expressed at the previous meeting; if the excavated material is placed in the proper area

and silt fencing is installed down slope then any negative impact to the brook will likely be prevented. The Chairman stated that he feels as he did at the last meeting.

Thomas Santoro moved to accept the application as regulated and non-significant activity, and to approve the application with conditions. See attached motion form. Michael Ream seconded the motion. The motion passed by unanimous vote.

#### **OTHER BUSINESS:**

The Chairman recognized that IWC had a visitor; Nicole Cloud, a student from Northwestern Regional High School #7 who is working on a civics project. Ms. Cloud asked the IWC members questions about their positions and duties.

3	arn the meeting. Martha Sullivan second to vote and the meeting was adjourned	
Respectfully submitted by	Thomas Santoro – acting secretary	Pate

### **MOTION**

## TOWN OF BARKHAMSTED INLAND WETLANDS COMMISSION

<b>Date</b> : May 12, 2009		
Location: 19 Morgan Brook Road, Barkhamsted	, CT	
Applicant: Seibert, Tyler		
Motion: After duly considering relevant factors,	the testimony given by the applicant, and	
feasible and prudent alternatives, the motion is m		
•		
non-significant and approve the application with	conditions.	
CONDITIONS: (check all that apply)  ✓ Permanent markers shall be placed at 100 wetlands boundaries, before cutting or cle	foot to 200 foot intervals along the surveyed earing;	
✓ Silt fence and hay bails installed and active Guidelines and be noted on the plan;	vity should follow 2002 Sediment and Erosion	
Inland Wetlands Officer to be notified one week prior to construction, in writing;		
Any excess materials will be removed from the regulated area (i.e. no stockpiling);		
✓ Permit conditions shall be filed with the p	ılan;	
✓ If individual site plans differ in any way f plan must be resubmitted to the Wetlands	from the submitted plan, then the individual site Commission;	
ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS: Any excess earth material from the proposed acti	vity on the site may be used for filling of	
existing yard as proposed, but any such filling mu	ust be done and stabilized while proper sediment	
and erosion controls are in place.		
Additional sheets attached: Yes	No If yes, how many?	
Motion made by: <u>Thomas Santoro</u>	Seconded by: Michael Ream	
Vote in Favor:	Opposed:	
John Greaser	Opposed.	
Michael Ream		
Martha Sullivan		
Chris Tooker		
Thomas Santoro	-	